Dosimetry for Epidemiologic Studies of Environmental Exposure

Dr. Vladimir Drozdovitch

Radiation Epidemiology Branch Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics National Cancer Institute drozdovv@mail.nih.gov

DCEG Radiation Epidemiology and Dosimetry Course 2019





Outline

- Environmental dosimetry
- General scheme of dose calculation and uncertainty assessment
- Sites of environmental exposure
- NCI Chernobyl Studies

Environmental Dosimetry

- Exposures to ionizing radiation resulting from large environmental releases of radioactive materials:
 - Routine releases of radioactivity during early years of operation of plutonium production facilities
 - Atmospheric nuclear weapons tests
 - Reactor accident
- Dose estimates for local populations

Pathways of Environmental Exposure



Pathways of Environmental Exposure (2)

External irradiation:

- Direct radiation from the source
- Radioactive cloud
- Activities deposited on the ground and other surfaces
- Internal irradiation
 - Inhalation
 - Ingestion with locally produced food



Releases of Radioactivity to the Atmosphere

- Plutonium production facility:
 - Hanford (USA, 1944-1960)
 - Mayak (USSR, 1948-1972)
- Atmospheric nuclear weapons tests:
 - Marshall Islands (USA, 1946-1958)
 - Semipalatinsk (USSR, 1949-1962)
 - Nevada (USA, 1951-1958)
- Reactor accidents:
 - Windscale (UK, 1957)
 - Three-Mile Island (USA, 1979)
 - Chernobyl (USSR, 1986)
 - Fukushima Daiichi (Japan, 2011)

General Scheme of Dose Calculation and Uncertainty Assessment

Assessment of Radiation Doses

- There is no prescribed approach for defining and presenting scenarios of exposure
- Dose depends on a number of factors:
 - Radionuclide composition of the activity released
 - Transport of radionuclides in the environment
 - Time, location, residence data, behavior and dietary pattern of exposed population

Types of Dose

- For a specified individual:
 - Use of personal interview to collect information on individual whereabouts and consumption history
 - Use of personal dosimeter measurements for external exposure, if available
 - Use of measurements of radioactivity in humans for internal exposure, if available
- For an unspecified individual, representative of a group:
 Use of generic values

External Exposure



Internal Exposure



Internal Exposure (2)





Why is it Necessary to Evaluate the Dosimetry Uncertainties?

- They are fairly large: uncertainties give information on the reliability of the point estimate of the dose
- They bias risk estimates
- The uncertainty assessment shows where the accuracy of the dose estimates can be improved
- Reviewers of manuscripts request information on uncertainties

Major Sources of Uncertainties

- Stochastic variability of the parameters used in the exposure assessment
- Uncertainty due to lack of knowledge about true values of parameters
- Measurement errors
- Low reliability of questionnaire data on individual behavior during radiation exposures that occurred a long time ago

Steps in Uncertainty Assessment

- Document sources and quality of all input data
- Establish dosimetry errors' structure
- Assign probability distribution for each model parameter
- Calculate stochastic doses to obtain the overall uncertainty in the dose estimates
- Sensitivity analysis

Stochastic Doses

Simple 1DMC:

- Set of individual stochastic doses; no correlation between subjects; no distinction between variability and uncertainty
- Accounting for shared or unshared errors:
 - Solid matrix of individual stochastic doses; inter-individual correlation; account either for variability or uncertainty

• 2DMC:

Solid matrix of individual stochastic doses; inter-individual correlation; account both for variability and uncertainty







Sites of environmental exposure

NIH NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE

Important Radionuclides

| Radionuclide | Half-time | External exposure | Internal exposure |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Short-term exposure | | | |
| ¹³¹ I | 8.02 d | + | + |
| ¹³² Te + ¹³² I | 3.204 d | + | + |
| ¹³³ I | 20.8 h | + | + |
| ¹⁴⁰ Ba + ¹⁴⁰ La | 12.75 d | + | |
| ⁹⁵ Zr + ⁹⁵ Nb | 64.03 d | + | |
| Long-term exposure | | | |
| ¹³⁴ CS ^a | 2.06 y | + | + |
| ¹³⁷ Cs | 30.17 y | + | + |
| ⁹⁰ Sr | 28.9 y | | + |

Selected Sites of Environmental Exposure

| Site | Predominant exposure pathways | Radionuclide (target organ) |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Hanford, Mayak | Ingestion (milk) | ¹³¹ I (thyroid) |
| Weapon tests:NTS, KazakhstanMarshall Islands | Ingestion (milk) Ingestion | ¹³¹ I (thyroid) ¹³³ I, ¹³¹ I, ¹³² Te+ ¹³² I (thyroid) |
| Techa River | External, ingestion | ⁹⁰ Sr (RBM), ¹³⁷ Cs (WB) |
| Windscale | Ingestion (milk) | ¹³¹ I (thyroid) |
| Chernobyl | Ingestion (milk) | ¹³¹ I (thyroid) |
| Fukushima Daiichi | External, inhalation, ingestion | ¹³¹ I (thyroid), ¹³⁷ Cs (WB) |

Importance of ¹³¹I



Importance of ¹³¹I (2)

- Iodine accumulates in the thyroid gland
- As a first approximation, the thyroid dose from ¹³¹I is proportional to the consumption of milk and inversely proportional to the thyroid mass
- Because the thyroid mass increases with age, from 1-2 g in infants to about 20 g in adults, the average thyroid dose decreases with increasing age

Major Releases of ¹³¹I to the Atmosphere

| Time period | Location | ¹³¹ I released |
|-------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | (PBq, Bq x 10 ¹⁵) |
| 1946-1962 | Global atmospheric nuclear weapons tests | 650,000 |
| 1986 | Chernobyl (USSR) | 1,800 |
| 2011 | Fukushima Daiichi (Japan) | 160 |
| 1940s | Hanford (WA, USA) | 15 |
| 1950s | Mayak (USSR) | 15 |
| 1957 | Windscale (UK) | 0.74 |
| 1979 | Three Mile Island (PA, USA) | 0.0006 |

Dose Estimates (mGy)

| Site | Target organ | Median | Mean | Maximal |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| | | dose | dose | dose |
| Chernobyl | Thyroid (Belarus) | 270 | 680 | 39,000 |
| Semipalatinsk NTS | Thyroid (+ external) | - | 280 | 4,200 |
| Hanford | Thyroid | 100 | 170 | 2,800 |
| NTS (Utah) | Thyroid | 55 | 120 | 1,400 |
| Windscale | Thyroid | - | 2 | 160 |
| Fukushima Daiichi | Thyroid (Fuk prefecture) | 2 | 3 | 48 |

Lower Radiation Doses to Population at Fukushima

- 10-times smaller release and deposition of radionuclides
- Accident occurred during the winter
- Little cow's milk and dairy products consumption in Japan
- Quick countermeasures: evacuation, sheltering, food restriction, monitoring

¹³⁷Cs Deposition (MBq m⁻²) at Fukushima and Chernobyl



Fukushima: Reduction of Doses from ¹³¹I





NCI Chernobyl Studies

NCI Chernobyl studies

- Cohort studies of thyroid cancer and other thyroid diseases in persons who were exposed in Belarus and Ukraine:
 - in childhood
 - in utero
- Case-control studies in Ukrainian cleanup workers of:
 - leukemia and related disorders
 - thyroid cancer
- Parental irradiation in Chernobyl cleanup workers and evacuees and germline mutations in the offspring (Trios)

Thyroid Cohorts Study among exposed in childhood

- Age 0-18 y at the time of the accident
- Resided in most contaminated regions
- Subject to measurements of ¹³¹I activity in the thyroid gland (direct thyroid measurements)
- Size: 11,732 in Belarus and 13,204 in Ukraine

Most Important Components of Dosimetry

- Measurements of ¹³¹I activity in the thyroid ("direct thyroid measurements")
- ¹³¹I ground deposition in the settlements
- Interviews for all cohort members or their relatives
- Ecological and biokinetic models
- Values of thyroid masses

Direct Thyroid Measurements

Curve derived from models plus data from questionnaire





Thyroid dose is proportional to area under the curve

Personal Interview Data

- Face-to-face interview with the cohort member or his/ her mother (if cohort member was < 10 y ATA)
- Residence history during the first two months following the accident
- Consumption rates and origin of milk, milk products, and leafy vegetables
- Stable iodine administration
- Additional interview with women who breastfed their children

Uncertainties

- Shared / Unshared errors
- Different levels of sharing: from entire cohort to small subgroups
- 1,000 sets of cohort thyroid doses:
 - Ecological, i.e. based on ecological model, and
 - Instrumental, i.e. base on direct thyroid measurements

Scheme of calculation of cohort doses



Individual Stochastic Thyroid Doses from ¹³¹I Intake (Gy)

| Cohort | Mean | Median | Range |
|---------|------|--------|---------|
| Belarus | 0.68 | 0.27 | ~0 – 39 |
| Ukraine | 0.56 | 0.18 | ~0 – 39 |

Uncertainty in Thyroid Doses

| GSD range | Belarus | | Ukraine | |
|------------|---------|------|---------|------|
| | Ν | % | Ν | % |
| < 1.5 | 4,015 | 34.2 | 7,982 | 60.5 |
| 1.5 – 1.99 | 6,477 | 55.2 | 4,711 | 35.7 |
| 2 – 2.99 | 1,015 | 8.7 | 294 | 2.2 |
| ≥3 | 225 | 1.9 | 217 | 1.6 |
| Mean GSD | 1.8 | | 1.6 | |

Alternative Dose Vectors (Cohort Dose Realizations)



Ecological doses
 Wide distribution indicates that sources of shared errors are important contributors to the uncertainty in <u>ecological</u> doses

Shared / Unshared Errors



 Calibration of the modeled <u>ecological</u> dose has virtually eliminated all sources of shared uncertainty associated with the parameters of the <u>ecological</u> model

Reliability of Questionnaire-Based Doses

- <u>Ideally</u>: Behavior and dietary data completely and precisely reflect what happened in the distant past
- <u>Reality</u>: Poor memory recall leads to low quality questionnaire data, including missing answers
- Majority of cohort members or his / her relatives were interviewed at least two times
- Opportunity to evaluate consistency in answers and influence on <u>ecological</u> and <u>instrumental</u> doses

Consistency of Questionnaire Data

| Question | Agreed (%) | k |
|--|------------|------|
| Date of first relocation | 42 | 0.33 |
| Consumption rate of privately owned cow milk | 54 | 0.33 |
| Consumption rate of milk from trade network | 75 | 0.43 |
| Stable iodine administration (Yes/No) | 75 | 0.49 |
| Date of stable iodine administration | 26 | 0.21 |

Kappa-statistics: k < 0 – no agreement k = 0.00-0.20 – slight agreement 0.21-0.40 – fair agreement 0.41-0.60 – moderate agreement 0.61-0.80 – substantial agreement 0.81-1.00 – almost perfect agreement

Reliability of Ecological Doses



Reliability of Instrumental Doses



Reliability of Questionnaire-Based Doses

- <u>Dose-related measurements</u>: The quality of individual behavior and dietary data has, in general, a small influence on the results of the retrospective dose assessment
- <u>No dose-related measurements</u>: High quality individual behavior and dietary data are required to provide realistic and reliable dose estimates

Summary

- At the local and regional scales, reactor accidents and atmospheric nuclear weapons tests have resulted in relatively large doses among population groups
- Scenario of exposure and behavior and dietary pattern of exposed population define approach to estimate radiation doses
- Results of measurements in environment and humans should be used as wide as possible

Summary (2)

- Uncertainties in dose estimates should be evaluated as they are fairly large for environmental exposure
- "Gold standard" behavior and dietary data are required to provide realistic and reliable dose estimates



- Major release of ¹³¹I to the atmosphere occurred after
 - A: Chernobyl accident
 - B: Fukushima-Daiichi accident
 - C: Three-Mile Island accident
 - D: None above





- Major release of ¹³¹I to the atmosphere occurred after
 - A: Chernobyl accident
 - B: Fukushima-Daiichi accident
 - C: Three-Mile Island accident
 - D: None above





- What is the best situation for assessment of individual doses? The following data are available for a person:
 - A: Radiation measurement
 - B: Individual behavior and dietary data
 - C: Both, radiation measurement and individual behavior and dietary data



- What is the best situation for assessment of individual doses? The following data are available for a person:
 - A: Radiation measurement
 - B: Individual behavior and dietary data

C: Both, radiation measurement and individual behavior and dietary data

U.S. Department of Health & Human Services National Institutes of Health | National Cancer Institute

dceg.cancer.gov/

1-800-4-CANCER

Produced September 2019