Genetics of Radiation-Related Cancer

Lindsay Morton, Ph.D. Radiation Epidemiology Branch Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics National Cancer Institute mortonli@mail.nih.gov



DCEG Radiation Epidemiology and Dosimetry Course 2019



www.dceg.cancer.gov/RadEpiCourse

Question #1: True or false? We already know that individuals vary in their response to radiation exposure and risk for developing cancer.

A. True

B. False

Question #1: True or false? We already know that individuals vary in their response to radiation exposure and risk for developing cancer.

<mark>A. True</mark>

B. False

Learning Objectives

- Understand the general principles of genetic association studies conducted to date for radiation-related cancers
 - Candidate SNP/gene versus agnostic approaches
 - Specific populations and outcomes
 - Different statistical approaches to incorporate radiation exposures
- Understand the current key challenges and opportunities in the field

Ionizing radiation causes cancer





Invasive breast cancer cells. Fox C. National Cancer Institute

Cellular and tissue response to radiation exposure



West and Barnett. Genome Med 2011.

Variation in radiation sensitivity



West and Barnett. Genome Med 2011.

Genes involved in clinical radiation sensitivity syndromes

Syndrome	Gene(s)
Ataxia Telangiectasia	ATM
Ataxia Telangiectasia-like Disorder	MRE11A
Bloom Syndrome	BLM
Cornelia de Lange Syndrome*	SMC1A, SMC3, NIPBL, HDAC8, RAD21
DNA Ligase IV Deficiency	LIG4†
Fanconi Anemia	FANCA, FANCB, FANCC, BRCA2, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF,
	FANCG, FANCI, BRIP1, FANCL, FANCM, PALB2, RAD51C, SLX4,
	ERCC4, RAD51, BRCA1, UBE2T, XRCC2, MAD2L2, RFWD3
Gorlin Syndrome*	PTCH1, PTCH2, SUFU
Li-Fraumeni Syndrome*	TP53
Neurofibromatosis Type 1*	NF1
Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome	NBN†
Nijmegen Breakage-Like Disorder	RAD50
Retinoblastoma*	RB1
RIDDLE Syndrome	RNF168
Radiosensitive SCID	DCLRE1C, PRKDC, NHEJ1, LIG4†, NBN†
X-linked Agammaglobulinemia	BTK
Xeroderma Pigmentosum	XPC, ERCC2, POLH, DDB2, ERCC3, ERCC4, ERCC5, XPA, ERCC1
* Autosomal dominant inheritance.	8

Additional reference sources



Human Radiosensitivity

Report of the independent Advisory Group on Ionising Radiation



Evidence for variation in human radiosensitivity and its potential impact on radiological protection

S.D. Bouffler

Radiation Effects Department, Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards, Public Health England, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0RQ, UK; e-mail: simon.bouffler@phe.gov.uk

https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0146645 315623158

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uplo ads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/333058/RCE-21_v2_for_website.pdf

SAGE

Ionizing radiation causes cancer





Invasive breast cancer cells. Fox C. National Cancer Institute

- Insight into radiation carcinogenesis
- Impact on (clinical) decision-making
 - Risk/benefit assessment of radiation exposure
 - Surveillance recommendations



- Key challenges:
 - Few studies have necessary data (radiation exposure, long-term follow-up, DNA)
 - Complex interrelationships between radiation exposure, age, latency, and cancer type

Arc of genetics



Two Myths

- One technology can do it all
- Single studies tell the full story

Courtesy of SJ Chanock

Key questions

- Which radiation exposure?
- Which study population?
- Which outcome?
- Which genetic variants?

Key questions (2)

Which radiation exposure?

- Which study population?^{100 Gy}
- Which outcome?
- Which genetic variants? ^{1 G}



Courtesy S. Schonfeld, A. Berrington de Gonzalez

Key questions (3)

- Which radiation exposure?
- Which study population?
- Which outcome?
- Which genetic variants?



Key questions (4)

ERR/Gy by subsequent neoplasm type after childhood RT

- Which radiation exposure?
- Which study population?
- Which outcome?
- Which genetic variants? Risk



Inskip et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2016

Key questions (5)



Genes involved in clinical radiation sensitivity syndromes

Syndrome	Gene(s)
Ataxia Telangiectasia	ATM (WECARE Study)
Ataxia Telangiectasia-like Disorder	MRE11A
Bloom Syndrome	BLM
Cornelia de Lange Syndrome*	SMC1A, SMC3, NIPBL, HDAC8, RAD21
DNA Ligase IV Deficiency	LIG4†
Fanconi Anemia	FANCA, FANCB, FANCC, BRCA2, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF,
	FANCG, FANCI, BRIP1, FANCL, FANCM, PALB2, RAD51C, SLX4,
	ERCC4, RAD51, BRCA1, UBE2T, XRCC2, MAD2L2, RFWD3
Gorlin Syndrome*	PTCH1, PTCH2, SUFU
Li-Fraumeni Syndrome*	TP53 (LiFE Consortium)
Neurofibromatosis Type 1*	NF1 (Bhatia S et al. J Clin Oncol In press)
Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome	NBN†
Nijmegen Breakage-Like Disorder	RAD50
Retinoblastoma*	RB1 (IRiSC Consortium)
RIDDLE Syndrome	RNF168
Radiosensitive SCID	DCLRE1C, PRKDC, NHEJ1, LIG4†, NBN†
X-linked Agammaglobulinemia	BTK
Xeroderma Pigmentosum	XPC, ERCC2, POLH, DDB2, ERCC3, ERCC4, ERCC5, XPA, ERCC1
* Autosomal dominant inheritance.	18

Retinoblastoma

- Rare childhood retinal tumor
 - Hereditary retinoblastoma
 - Non-hereditary retinoblastoma

96% survival at 10 years in the United States





Cumulative second cancer incidence in RB survivors



Kleinerman RA et al. *J Clin Oncol* 2012

Most common second cancers after hereditary RB



Bone sarcoma risk after hereditary RB



Kleinerman RA et al. J Chin Oncor III press

Soft tissue sarcoma risk after hereditary RB



Kleinerman RA et al. J Clin Oncol In press

RB1 mutations and subsequent neoplasm risk

199 hereditary Rb survivors, 44 developed subsequent neoplasm



Dommering CJ et al. Fam Cancer 2012

International collaboration: RB survivorship

- Broad group of interdisciplinary investigators
- Led by Dutch group
- Primary aims:
 - Evaluate treatment-related subsequent neoplasm risk
 - Evaluate genetic susceptibility to subsequent neoplasms
 - Contribute to survivorship guidelines



INTERNATIONAL RETINOBLASTOMA AND SECOND CANCERS

Genes involved in clinical radiation sensitivity syndromes

Syndrome	Gene(s)
Ataxia Telangiectasia	ATM (WECARE Study)
Ataxia Telangiectasia-like Disorder	MRE11A
Bloom Syndrome	BLM
Cornelia de Lange Syndrome*	SMC1A, SMC3, NIPBL, HDAC8, RAD21
DNA Ligase IV Deficiency	LIG4†
Fanconi Anemia	FANCA, FANCB, FANCC, BRCA2, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF,
	FANCG, FANCI, BRIP1, FANCL, FANCM, PALB2, RAD51C, SLX4,
	ERCC4, RAD51, BRCA1, UBE2T, XRCC2, MAD2L2, RFWD3
Gorlin Syndrome*	PTCH1, PTCH2, SUFU
Li-Fraumeni Syndrome*	TP53 (LiFE Consortium)
Neurofibromatosis Type 1*	<i>NF1</i> (Bhatia S et al. <i>J Clin Oncol</i> In press)
Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome	NBN†
Nijmegen Breakage-Like Disorder	RAD50
Retinoblastoma*	RB1 (IRiSC Consortium)
RIDDLE Syndrome	RNF168
Radiosensitive SCID	DCLRE1C, PRKDC, NHEJ1, LIG4†, NBN†
X-linked Agammaglobulinemia	BTK
Xeroderma Pigmentosum	XPC, ERCC2, POLH, DDB2, ERCC3, ERCC4, ERCC5, XPA, ERCC1
* Autosomal dominant inheritance.	26

Diagnostic x-rays \rightarrow breast cancer risk among BRCA1/2 mutation carriers

- Conflicting results in the literature
- GENE-RAD-RISK study: Retrospective cohort of 1122 female BRCA1/2 mutation carriers pooled from three nationwide studies (France, UK, Netherlands)

Dose (Gy) before age 30 years	RR (95%CI)	
0	Reference	
>0-<0.002	1.63 (0.96-2.77)	
0.002-0.0065	1.78 (0.88-3.58)	
0.0066-0.0173	1.75 (0.72-4.25)	
≥0.0174	3.84 (1.67-8.79)	

Contralateral breast cancer risk

WECARE study: matched case/control (708 cases, 1397 controls)

Gene	Mutation status	Risk estimate (95%CI)
ATM		ERR/Gy
	Non-carrier	0.0 (<9-0.3)
	Tolerated missense	0.8 (-0.1-3.6)
	Deleterious	2.6 (0.0-10.6)
BRCA1/2		OR ≥1 vs. <1 Gy
	Non-carrier	1.2 (1.0-1.6)
	Carrier	1.0 (0.4-2.8)

Genomics in childhood cancer cohorts



Long-term, systematic follow-up for new malignancies

♦ Germline DNA

Detailed treatment data



Survival and DNA participation bias in a cohort study



Time since childhood cancer diagnosis

Known cancer predisposition gene mutations \rightarrow subsequent neoplasm risk

 St. Jude Lifetime Cohort study: 3006 long-term survivors with whole genome sequencing data; 439 developed 1+ subsequent neoplasm



Known cancer predisposition gene mutations \rightarrow subsequent neoplasm risk

 St. Jude Lifetime Cohort study: 3006 long-term survivors with whole genome sequencing data; 439 developed 1+ subsequent neoplasm



Wang Z et al. J Clin Oncol 2018

Key questions



Cumulative breast cancer risk



Moskowitz CS et al. J Clin Oncol 2014

Breast cancer: current screening recommendations

 Annual mammography with adjunct MRI for those with ≥20 Gy chest irradiation beginning 8 years after treatment or at age 25 years, whichever occurs last



http://www.survivorshipguidelines.org /pdf/LTFUGuidelines_40.pdf

Recommendations for breast cancer surveillance for female survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer given chest radiation: a report from the International Late Effects of Childhood Cancer Guideline Harmonization Group

Renée L Mulder, Leontien C M Kremer, Melissa M Hudson, Smita Bhatia, Wendy Landier, Gill Levitt, Louis S Constine, W Hamish Wallace, Flora E van Leeuwen, Cécile M Ronckers, Tara O Henderson, Mary Dwyer, Roderick Skinner, Kevin C Oeffinger Lancet Oncol 2013

Study population

	Pooled	CCSS	SJLIFE
Female survivors of European descent with genotype data	N=3426	N=2739	N=687
\rightarrow Developed breast cancer	N=207	N=178	N=29
\rightarrow Did not develop any 2 nd cancers	N=2774	N=2200	N=574
Genotyping + imputation	16,958,466 SNPs & InDels	Illumina 5M+Exome	Affymetrix 6.0

Morton LM*, Sampson JN*, Armstrong GT*, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017
Population characteristics

Characteristics	Breast cancer (N=207)	No 2 nd cancer (N=2774)
First primary type Hodgkin lymphoma Leukemia Other	65% 10% 26%	9% 35% 56%
≥10 Gy radiation exposure to breast	63%	18%
Median age at childhood cancer	15.6 years	6.1 years
Median attained age	39.2 years	32.9 years

Morton LM*, Sampson JN*, Armstrong GT*, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017

Multi-stage analysis approach

1. Mantel Haenszel test statistic (exact conditional distribution) comparing:

Minor allele	Breast SN	No SN
0	Ν	Ν
1	Ν	Ν

Stratified by study/DNA input

- 2. For SNPs with $P_{MH} < 1 \times 10^{-6}$:
 - Cox regression, age as time scale
 - Gene x radiation (multiplicative model)
 - Sensitivity analyses (first primary, age, survival, etc.)
 - Technical validation of top SNPs

Evaluate consistency in CCSS and SJLIFE results

Top association: 1q41, rs4342822

	Radiation exposure to the breast ≥10 Gy <10 Gy	
Cases / Controls	131 / 493	69 / 2144
Risk allele frequency, cases / controls	0.66 / 0.46	0.52 / 0.51
P _{MH}	7.09 x 10 ⁻⁹	0.81
Multivariate Cox model, HR(95% CI)	1.92 (1.29-2.44)	1.04 (0.75-1.45)
P _{Cox}	7.00 x 10 ⁻⁹	0.81
Gene x radiation interaction P value	0.006	
* Genotyping status: CCSS=imputed (0.996), SJLIFE=genotyped		



Suggestive association: 11q23, rs74949440

	Radiation exposure to the breast ≥10 Gy <10 Gy	
Cases / Controls	131 / 493	69 / 2144
Risk allele frequency, cases / controls	0.09/0.02	0.03 / 0.03
P _{MH}	5.84 x 10 ⁻⁸	0.79
Multivariate Cox model, HR(95% CI)	2.59 (1.62-4.16)	1.19 (0.41-3.45)
P _{Cox}	8.40 x 10 ⁻⁸	0.75
Gene x radiation interaction P value	0.06	
* Genotyping status: CCSS=imputed (0.906), SJLIFE=imputed (0.674)		



Susceptibility to breast cancer after childhood cancer

Hypothesis:

germline variants \rightarrow pro-proliferative, pro-invasive phenotype that supports the growth of malignant cells following transformation by ionizing radiation

Conclusion:

evidence that germline genetics outside high-risk syndromes could modify the effect of radiation exposure on breast cancer risk after childhood cancer

The road ahead

- Future potential
 - Screening recommendations
 - Front-line therapy decisions
- Discovery study → requires replication before clinical translation
 - Radiation exposure
 - Age at treatment
 - Breast cancer subtype
 - Functional studies



Napali coast, Kauai

CCSS GWAS – data resource

Data deposited to dbGaP

(database of genotypes and phenotypes)

- CCSS Request for Proposals (Genetics Working Group)
- Separate framework for proposals to replicate



https://ccss.stjude.org/

Breast cancer in the general population



NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog 2017

Breast cancer in the general population: polygenic risk score

 Each individual variant has small effect but combination of variants substantially improves risk stratification



Maas et al. JAMA Oncol 2016

Breast cancer risk after Hodgkin lymphoma

1st step: Case-only study

- Test 194,106 SNPs for statistical interaction with RT among:



- Obtain IOR (Interaction Odds Ratio) per SNP
- Select most significant SNPs for validation in 2nd step

2nd step: Case-Control study

- Calculate Polygenic Risk Score (risk-weighted sum) of:
- SNPs significantly interacting with RT (RT-interaction-PRS)
- 77 SNPs associated with BC in general population* (BC-PRS)
- Test association of both PRSs with BC after HL among:



327 cases of breast cancer after HL vs. 4671 primary breast cancer cases

. 327 cases of breast cancer after HL vs. 491 HL cases (no breast cancer)

* Mavaddat et al JNCI 2015

Opstal-van Winden AWJ et al. Blood 2019

Breast cancer risk after Hodgkin lymphoma



Learning Objectives

- Understand the general principles of genetic association studies conducted to date for radiation-related cancers
 - Candidate SNP/gene versus agnostic approaches
 - Specific populations and outcomes
 - Different statistical approaches to incorporate radiation exposures
- Understand the current key challenges and opportunities in the field

Additional reference sources

SCHOTTENFELD AND FRAUMENI

CANCER Epidemiology and Prevention

FOURTH EDITION

EDITED BY MICHAEL J. THUN MARTHA S. LINET JAMES R. CERHAN CHRISTOPHER A. HAIMAN DAVID SCHOTTENFELD Chapter 5: Genetic Epidemiology of Cancer KL Penney, K Michailidou, DA Carere, C Zhang, B Pierce, S Lindstrom, P Kraft

Chapter 13: Ionizing Radiation

A Berrington de González, A Bouville, P Rajaraman, M Schubauer-Berigan

Chapter 60: Multiple Primary Cancers LM Morton, SA Savage, S Bhatia

A final comment: somatic changes in tumors

 Can we use cancer genomics to profile tumors and identify a "signature" of radiation exposure?





...stay tuned!

Question #2: True or false? Candidate SNP or gene approaches are the best way to discover important genetic variants associated with radiation-related cancer because we already know which genes to study.

A. True

B. False

Question #2: True or false? Candidate SNP or gene approaches are the best way to discover important genetic variants associated with radiation-related cancer because we already know which genes to study.

A. True

B. False

Question #3: Which scenario has the most statistical power for identifying genetic variants associated with radiation-related cancer?

- A. Smaller study population, moderate or high relative risks
- B. Larger study population, small relative risks
- C. Larger study population, moderate or high relative risks
- D. Smaller study population, small relative risks

Question #3: Which scenario has the most statistical power for identifying genetic variants associated with radiation-related cancer?

- A. Smaller study population, moderate or high relative risks
- B. Larger study population, small relative risks
- C. Larger study population, moderate or high relative risks
- D. Smaller study population, small relative risks

U.S. Department of Health & Human Services National Institutes of Health | National Cancer Institute

dceg.cancer.gov/

1-800-4-CANCER

Produced September 2019

(Recent) History of germline cancer genetics studies

Common variants 1990

Candidate SNPs (1-20 SNPs)

Candidate gene (20-200) 2000

Candidate pathway (50-10,000) Genome-wide (150,000-4,000,000+) 2010 Rare variants
Single genes

Gene panels

Whole exome

Whole genome

58